

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/528,499	SHUSTER ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
DANIEL P. STEPHENSON	3676	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) DANIEL P. STEPHENSON.

(3) ____.

(2) Matt Moscicki.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2009

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner related that the case was in condition for allowance, but the blank spaces within the specification needed to be changed. The attorney for the applicant stated that this section was unnecessary and agreed to deleting it through an examiner's amendment.